SINGLE BENCH (5)

CF100L

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

Writ Petition (S) No. 6994 of 2006

PETITIONER:

H.R. Mandavi,
S/o Akturam Mandavi,
Aged about 46 years,
Assistant Director, Education,
Department of Tribal Welfare,
Durg, Tehsil & District: Durg,
Chhattisgarh.

P.R. No. 7/04/06
Presented by Shri Mahd. Asif
dated 5/12/06

- Versus -

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Department of Tribal Welfare,
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2. Commissioner,
Scheduled Castes and
Scheduled Tribes Welfare
Department,
State of Chhattisgarh, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh.

3. Principal,
Government Higher Secondary
School, Patratoli,
District: Jashpur, Chhattisgarh.

4. Assistant Commissioner
Tribal Welfare, Durg (Chhattisgarh)

CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

नोटरी शकुन्तला (चांदनी सक्सेना) C.G. 16/10/10 2005 बिलासपुर छत्तीसगढ़ शासन

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT/WRITS, DIRECTIONS ETC., IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI AND/OR ANY OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OF LIKE NATURE

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 6994 of 2006

Petitioner : H.R. Mandavi

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Present: Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on 15th day of December, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was posted as Assistant Director in the office of the Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Department at Durg (C.G.). By impugned order dated 7.7.2006 (Annexure P/2), the petitioner has been transferred to Jashpur as Principal, Government Higher Secondary School, Patratoli, District Jashpur. Learned counsel further submits that wife of the petitioner is posted as Postal Assistant in the Department of Posts, Durg (C.G.).

(38)

2. The petitioner wants to make a representation before the respondents-authorities for accommodating the petitioner at Durg or at nearby places.

3. In the event, any representation is made by the petitioner, the respondents-authorities may consider the same sympathetically in accordance with law.

4. Thus, this writ petition stands disposed of with the above-stated liberty. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash